

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,424	03/29/2004	Donald A. Hoffend JR.	85809.000032	4775
23387	7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Stephen B. Salai, Esq.			MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC	
Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Y 14604-2711		3654	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 4 1 4 1					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·				
		10/813,424	HOFFEND, DON	ALD A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Emmanuel M Marce						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uncertainty of the provision of the provisio	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, . I reply within the statutory minimuring will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
· —		This action is non-final.						
3)	· <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
6)⊠								
7)								
8)[
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · ·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage				
* 0	application from the International But	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3<i>-29-</i>04</u> .		ice of Informal Patent Application (PT0 er:	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 5,662,311 to Waedekin et al.

With respect to claims 1, 5-9 and 11-14, Waedekin et al disclose a lift assembly for translating at least one of a batten and load along a vertical path, the lift assembly comprising: a sensor 90 connected to the one of the batten and the load; a hoisting motor (col. 3, line 59) for moving he one of the batten and the load along the vertical path; and a controller (96, 98, 102) connected to the sensor 90 and a hoisting motor for halting movement of the one of the batten and load along the vertical path in response to a signal from the sensor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,424

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 2-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waedekin et al.

With respect to claims 2-4, Waedekin does not teach the sensor to be a proximity, infrared or an ultrasound sensor. However, choosing any of the above sensors would involve no more than ordinary skill in the art. There, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Waedekin et al with any of the above sensors since any one of the above would perform equally well as the sensor disclosed in Waedekin et al.

With respect to claim 10, Waedekin et al does not teach the controller to be wireless. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a wireless controller so that the number of wires will be decreased. Also, by providing a wireless controller, the location of the controller would not be limited by the length of the cable attached to the controller.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-6597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,424

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel M Marcelo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3654

Page 4

emm

October 15, 2004